## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

for the Southern District of California

United States of America v.	)	
FREDY IVAN JANDRES-PARADA	)	Case No. 3:24-mj-00947-MMP
Defendant	_	FILED
DETENT	ION ORDER	MAR 1 2 2024
	oility for Detention	SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALEDRAIA
Upon motion of: ☐ the Government, in a case involving	an enumerated	offense, 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1), BEPUTY
		s flight risk, 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(2)(A), or
☐ the Government or Court, in a case i	nvolving serious	obstruction risk, 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(2)(B),
the Court held a detention hearing and found that detention fact and conclusions of law, as required by 18 U.S.C. § 31  Part II - Findings of Fact and La	142(i), in addition	to any other findings made at the hearing.
☐ <b>(2)</b> under 18 U.S.C. §§ 924(c) <b>(firear</b>	on or combination afety of the comports on the comports of 10 \$ 801-904), the Chapter 705 of Tit	n of conditions will reasonably assure the munity because there is probable cause to years or more is prescribed in the Controlled Substances Import and le 46, U.S.C. (46 U.S.C. §§ 70501-70508);
(terrorism);  ☐ (3) listed in 18 U.S.C. § 2332b(g)(5)( of imprisonment of 10 years or more is pr		elated offenses) for which a maximum term
		an trafficking) for which a maximum term
of imprisonment of 20 years or more is pr  ☐ (5) involving a <b>minor victim</b> under 1 2251, 2251A, 2252(a)(1), 2252(a)(2), 225 2252A(a)(4), 2260, 2421, 2422, 2423, or	escribed; <b>or</b> 8 U.S.C. §§ 1201 2(a)(3), 2252A(a	1, 1591, 2241, 2242, 2244(a)(1), 2245,
☐ (a) a crime of violence, a violation	ination of condition in conditions hat of the following of 18 U.S.C. § 15	ons will reasonably assure the safety of any ve been met: crimes described in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1):

☐ (b) an offense for which the maximum sentence is life imprisonment or death; or
(c) an offense for which a maximum term of imprisonment of 10 years or more is prescribed in
the Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. §§ 801-904), the Controlled Substances Import and Export
Act (21 U.S.C. §§ 951-971), or Chapter 705 of Title 46, U.S.C. (46 U.S.C. §§ 70501-70508); or
☐ (d) any felony if such person has been convicted of two or more offenses described in
subparagraphs (a) through (c) of this paragraph, or two or more State or local offenses that would have
been offenses described in subparagraphs (a) through (c) of this paragraph if a circumstance giving rise to Federal jurisdiction had existed, or a combination of such offenses; or
(e) any felony that is not otherwise a crime of violence but involves:
(i) a minor victim; (ii) the possession of a firearm or destructive device (as defined in 18 U.S.C.
§ 921); (iii) any other dangerous weapon; or (iv) a failure to register under 18 U.S.C. § 2250; and
☐ (2) the defendant has <b>previously been convicted</b> of a Federal offense that is described in 18 U.S.C.
§ 3142(f)(1), or of a State or local offense that would have been such an offense if a circumstance giving
rise to Federal jurisdiction had existed; and
(3) the prior conviction described in paragraph (2) involves an offense committed while the
defendant was on release pending trial for a Federal, State, or local offense; and
☐ (4) a period of <b>not more than five years has elapsed</b> since the date of conviction, or the release of the defendant from imprisonment, for the offense described in paragraph (2) above, whichever is later.
detendant from imprisonment, for the offense described in paragraph (2) above, whichever is later.
A. Conclusions Regarding Applicability of Any Presumption Established Above
☐ The defendant has not introduced sufficient evidence to rebut the presumption above, and
detention is ordered on that basis. (Part III need not be completed.)
OR
☐ The defendant has presented evidence sufficient to rebut the presumption, but after considering
the presumption and the other factors discussed below, detention is warranted.
Part III - Analysis and Statement of the Reasons for Detention
After considering the factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g) and the information presented at the detention
hearing, the Court concludes that the defendant must be detained pending trial because:
☐ <b>Flight Risk</b> : The government proved by a preponderance of evidence that no condition or combination
of conditions of release will reasonably assure the defendant's appearance as required.
Dangarausness: The government proved by clear and convincing avidence that no condition or
☐ <b>Dangerousness</b> : The government proved by clear and convincing evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the
community.
Waiver: The defendant knowingly and voluntarily waived the right to bail.
·

	☐ The defendant is not a citizen of the		☐ The defendant has no resources in the
	United States or lawfully admitted for		United States from which he/she might
	permanent residence.		make a bond reasonably calculated to assure
	☐ The defendant, at the time of the		his/her future appearance.
	charged offense, was in the United States		☐ Insufficient or speculative bond
	illegally.		proposal
	☐ If released herein, the defendant faces		☐ Lack of financially responsible sureties
	removal proceedings by the Bureau of		☐ The defendant has a prior criminal
	Immigration and Customs Enforcement,		history and or immigration history
	placing him/her beyond the jurisdiction of		☐ Attempt(s) to evade law enforcement or
	this Court AND the defendant has		escape (including eluding officers in curren
	previously been deported or otherwise		charged offense)
	removed.		☐ Failure(s) to appear in court as ordered
	□ Nature and circumstances of the offense		☐ History of violence or use of weapons
	☐ Weight of evidence against the		☐ Violations of probation, parole, or
	defendant is strong (least important factor)		supervised release
	☐ Subject to lengthy period of		☐ On probation, parole, or supervision
	incarceration if convicted		during the current offense/arrest
	☐ The defendant has no significant		☐ Dishonest conduct, false statements, or
	contacts in the United States or in the		fraud
	Southern District of California.		☐ Use of alias(es) or false documents
	☐ Lack of stable residence		☐ History of alcohol or substance abuse
☐ Lack of significant community ties	•	□ Other:	☐ History of mental health issues
	☐ Lack of financial ties		
	☐ Lack of stable employment		
	☐ The defendant lives/works in Mexico		
	☐ Significant family or other ties outside		
	the United States		

## OTHER REASONS OR FURTHER EXPLANATION:

All the reasons stated on the record at the detention hearing.

## Part IV - Directions Regarding Detention

The defendant is remanded to the custody of the Attorney General or to the Attorney General's designated representative for confinement in a corrections facility separate, to the extent practicable, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or being held in custody pending appeal. The defendant must be afforded a reasonable opportunity for private consultation with defense counsel. On order of a court of the United States or on request of an attorney for the Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility must deliver the defendant to a United States Marshal for the purpose of an appearance in connection with a court proceeding.

Dated: 3/8/2024

Hon. Michelle M. Pettit United States Magistrate Judge

Millelle M. Letter